

# OPERATIONAL DEGRADATION (LOW LID) HIGH YIELD N-C

## CONCENTRATED PERC

### Highlights:

**1. Energy yield performance:** the energy yield gain of n-type TOPCon (Group 1) versus p-type PERC (Group 2) with fixed axis is **3.69%**

**2. Degradation:** the average degradation of Group 1 (TOPCon with fixed axis) and Group 2 (PERC with fixed axis) is **0.51%** and **1.38%**, respectively.

### Experimental Photovoltaic Test Facility

installed on a fixed axis with a tilt angle of 40° (as shown in Figure 1). Moreover, the pitch and clearance of each array were 10 m.




Figure 1. Fixed axis

The performance of the two testing groups (measured from September 2022 - March 2023) are shown in Table 2 and Figure 3.

Month	Fixed Axis- Cumulative Irradiation(kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /month)		Reflectance (%)
	Front side	Rear side	
2022-09	175.44	20.25	11.54
2022-10	137.67	15.02	10.91
2022-11	123.39	11.86	9.61
2022-12	119.57	10.51	8.79
2023-01	153.75	14.36	9.34
2023-02	103.42	12.15	11.75
2023-03	197.15	22.64	11.48

Table 2: Irradiation and reflectance




Figure 3. Monthly cumulative irradiation

(38°34'57.77" N, 106°0'55.72" E) Ningxia, north western of China.

Two groups of total 20 pieces of bifacial modules of two different types. Each bifacial module contains 144 pieces of the half-cut cell, as shown in Table 1.

Group	Module	Number	Type	Mounting System
1# N-TOPCon	JKM555N-72HL4-BDV	10		Fixed axis
2# P-PERC	JKM540M-72HL4-BDVP	10		Fixed axis

Table 1: Sample information

The performance of the two testing groups (measured from September 2022 - March 2023) were also measured and calculated. The output at 60kWh/m<sup>2</sup> were also measured and calculated. The output was measured at 1-min interval.

The performance of the two testing groups (measured from September 2022 - March 2023) were shown in Table 3 and Figure 4. And the energy yield per watt (Wh/W) was calculated according to Formula 1 and indicated in Table 3 and Figure 4.

**Calculator:**

Formula 1:

Formula 2:

Month	Group 1-Power generation per watt (Wh/W)	Group 2-Power generation per watt (Wh/W)
2022-09	5.64	5.44
2022-10	4.57	4.39
2022-11	4.45	4.29
2022-12	4.90	4.74
2023-01	5.01	4.81
2023-02	4.35	4.19
2023-03	6.11	5.88
<b>Average</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>4.82</b>

Table 3: Power generation per watt




Figure 4: Power generation per watt

4 and Table 5, respectively.

	Isc(A)	Voc(V)	Imp(A)	Vmp(V)	Pmax(W)	FF(%)	Eff.(%)
Group 1	13.59	51.41	12.93	43.45	561.8	80.44	21.77
Group 2	13.68	49.54	13.00	41.33	537.3	79.30	20.82

Table 4: Initial results of laboratory test data (mean)

	Isc(A)	Voc(V)	Imp(A)	Vmp(V)	Pmax(W)	FF(%)	Eff.(%)
Group 1	13.57	51.57	12.90	43.34	558.9	79.90	21.66
Group 2	13.63	49.14	12.94	40.95	529.9	79.14	20.53


Table 5: Period results of laboratory test data (mean)

**Conclusions:**

**1. Energy yield performance:** the energy yield gain of n-type TOPCon (Group 1) versus p-type PERC (Group 2) with fixed axis was **3.69%**.

**2. Degradation:** the average degradation of Group 1 (TOPCon with fixed axis) and Group 2 (PERC with fixed axis) is **0.51%** and **1.38%**,

D r r r r r r  
-J K (2022.09-2023.3)



Group 1. N-type Test Site



Group 2. P-type Test Site